

Insights

## THE EVOLUTION OF GERMAN INVESTMENT REGULATION

A NEW ERA FOR INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS

Mar 05, 2025

### A QUIET REVOLUTION IN REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

In February 2025, the German investment landscape experienced a significant shift with the amendment of the German Ordinance Act, *Anlageverordnung (AnIV)*, through the Eighth Amendment Regulation (8. VAGVÄndV). These changes, initially proposed as part of the Second Company Pension Strengthening Act (*2. Betriebsrentenstärkungsgesetz*), have been implemented despite the political turbulence following the end of Germany's coalition government. This regulatory evolution represents a nuanced response to several intersecting challenges: the need for infrastructure investment, the pressure on institutional investors to find yield in a complex market environment, and the ongoing necessity to balance risk management with investment opportunity.

The amendments mark a strategic pivot in German regulatory thinking, one that acknowledges the critical role of institutional capital in infrastructure development while carefully expanding investment horizons for regulated entities such as pension funds, small insurance companies, and provident funds. This article explores the implications of these changes, their potential impact on the investment strategies of affected institutions, and what they might signal about the future direction of German investment regulation.

### ANLAGEVERORDNUNG

The *Anlageverordnung* applies to many German insurance and pensions institutions outside the full Solvency II regime, including:

- a. Small insurance undertakings (*kleine Versicherungsunternehmen*)
- b. Pension funds (*Pensionskassen*) providing occupational retirement provisions
- c. Death benefit funds (*Sterbekassen*) providing funeral expense coverage
- d. Certain professional pension schemes (*Versorgungswerke*) subject to state law

These institutions must comply with the AnIV's rules when managing their guarantee assets (*Sicherungsvermögen*). While larger insurers under Solvency II have more flexibility, they often still use the AnIV as a reference point, making these changes relevant to a broader segment of German institutional investors.

## **KEY AMENDMENTS: EXPANDING THE INVESTMENT UNIVERSE**

### **THE NEW INFRASTRUCTURE QUOTA: A TARGETED APPROACH**

The introduction of a dedicated 5% infrastructure quota stands as perhaps the most innovative element of the amendments. This change allows regulated investors to allocate up to 5% of their guarantee assets (*Sicherungsvermögen*) directly to infrastructure projects and companies without these investments counting against other existing quotas. This approach effectively creates a dedicated channel for infrastructure investment, recognizing its distinct risk-return profile and economic significance.

What makes this change particularly notable is the breadth of its definition. The amendments encompass both direct and indirect investments in "infrastructure facilities and infrastructure companies" that serve the "erection, expansion, renovation, maintenance, provision, holding, operation or management of infrastructure." While the regulation does not explicitly define "infrastructure," it appears to adopt a broad interpretation that could include energy, information technology and telecommunications, transport, health, water, food, and financial services sectors.

This flexibility in definition is intentional and pragmatic. By avoiding an overly prescriptive approach, the regulation allows for adaptation to evolving infrastructure needs and investment opportunities. It also recognizes that infrastructure as an asset class defies simple categorization, spanning a continuum from conservative, bond-like investments to more growth-oriented opportunities.

### **RISK CAPITAL QUOTA: ACKNOWLEDGING MARKET REALITY**

The increase in the risk capital quota from 35% to 40% of guarantee assets represents a significant expansion of investment flexibility. This category encompasses various higher-risk investments, including private equity funds, hedge funds, commodities, equities, and high-yield debt. The 5 percentage point increase may seem modest in absolute terms, but in the context of Germany's traditionally conservative regulatory approach, it signals a meaningful shift in regulatory philosophy.

This change reflects an acknowledgment of the challenges institutional investors face in the current market environment. With persistent pressure on traditional fixed-income yields, regulated entities have increasingly needed to consider alternative sources of return. The expanded quota provides greater room for diversification into potentially higher-yielding assets, without fundamentally altering the protective framework that has characterized German investment regulation.

## ENHANCED OPENING CLAUSE: FLEXIBILITY WITH BOUNDARIES

The modifications to the opening clause in § 2 Abs. 2 AnIV represent perhaps the most technical but potentially far-reaching change. Previously, the opening clause allowed investments in otherwise inadmissible assets up to certain limits. The amendment expands this to include investments that exceed the diversification limits under § 4 Abs. 1 to 4 AnIV, creating greater flexibility regarding exposure to individual debtors and investments.

This change addresses a practical reality of institutional investment: sometimes the most attractive opportunities require concentration that might exceed standard diversification parameters. By allowing for considered exceptions to diversification rules (within overall exposure limits), the regulation creates space for more strategic allocation decisions while maintaining the fundamental risk-mitigating framework.

## IMPLICATIONS FOR MARKET PARTICIPANTS

### FOR INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS: NEW STRATEGIC HORIZONS

For pension funds, insurance companies, and other AnIV-regulated investors, these amendments represent both an opportunity and a challenge. The opportunity lies in the expanded investment universe and greater flexibility in allocation decisions. The infrastructure quota in particular offers a pathway to potentially stable, long-term returns with inflation-protection characteristics – attributes highly valued by liability-driven investors.

The challenge, however, lies in developing the expertise and processes necessary to evaluate and access these newly emphasized asset classes. Infrastructure investment, in particular, requires specialized knowledge and often involves complex structuring and due diligence processes. Not all regulated entities will have this expertise in-house, potentially creating demand for external advisory services or spurring interest in indirect investment vehicles that provide access to infrastructure while managing the associated complexity.

The increased risk capital quota similarly offers both opportunity and responsibility. While it creates space for potentially higher returns, it also requires sophisticated risk management capabilities to ensure that higher-risk allocations remain appropriate relative to an institution's liability profile and risk tolerance.

### FOR ASSET MANAGERS: PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

The regulatory changes create significant opportunities for asset managers serving the German institutional market. The dedicated infrastructure quota in particular may stimulate demand for specialized products that provide AnIV-compliant access to infrastructure investments. This could include everything from infrastructure-focused special funds (Spezialfonds) to structured vehicles that package infrastructure exposure in formats tailored to the specific needs of regulated investors.

Asset managers with expertise in infrastructure investment are particularly well-positioned to benefit from these changes. However, the regulatory amendments also create opportunities for innovation in product design more broadly, as managers develop solutions that help clients navigate the expanded but still regulated investment universe effectively.

## FOR THE GERMAN ECONOMY: MOBILIZING PRIVATE CAPITAL

From a macroeconomic perspective, these amendments represent a strategic effort to mobilize private capital for infrastructure development. Germany, like many developed economies, faces significant infrastructure needs across sectors ranging from energy transition to digital infrastructure, transportation, and beyond. Public resources alone are insufficient to meet these needs, making private investment essential.

By creating dedicated space for infrastructure investment within the regulatory framework for institutional investors, the amendments help direct long-term private capital toward these critical needs. This alignment of regulatory policy with infrastructure development objectives represents an intelligent approach to leveraging the complementary interests of institutional investors (seeking stable, long-term returns) and the broader economy (requiring infrastructure investment).

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS: WHAT COMES NEXT?

The current amendments, while significant, may represent just the beginning of a longer evolutionary process in German investment regulation. Industry observers have already suggested that the 5% infrastructure quota might be a starting point rather than a final destination. As institutional investors gain experience with infrastructure investment and as the asset class continues to mature, there may be room for further expansion of this quota.

Similarly, the regulatory treatment of other alternative asset classes – from private debt to sustainable investments – may continue to evolve as the investment landscape changes and as regulators gain comfort with expanded investment flexibility. The balanced approach evident in the current amendments – expanding opportunity while maintaining fundamental risk management principles – provides a template for such future evolution.

Another area for potential development lies in the integration of sustainability considerations into the regulatory framework. While the current amendments do not explicitly address ESG factors, infrastructure investment inherently intersects with sustainability objectives, particularly in areas like renewable energy, clean transportation, and climate-resilient development. Future regulatory evolution might more explicitly recognize and perhaps incentivize investments that contribute to sustainability goals.

## CONCLUSION: A MEASURED EVOLUTION

The 2025 amendments to the Anlageverordnung represent a measured but meaningful evolution in German investment regulation. By creating dedicated space for infrastructure investment, expanding the risk capital quota, and enhancing flexibility regarding diversification limits, the changes acknowledge the challenges faced by institutional investors while maintaining the protective framework that has long characterized German regulation.

These changes reflect a nuanced regulatory philosophy that recognizes both the need for investment opportunity and the importance of prudent risk management. In striking this balance, the amendments create space for innovation and adaptation within a principled framework – an approach that serves the interests of regulated entities, their beneficiaries, and the broader economy.

For market participants, the key now lies in thoughtful implementation – developing the expertise, processes, and products necessary to navigate this expanded but still regulated investment universe effectively. Those who can combine innovative thinking with disciplined execution will be best positioned to benefit from this new regulatory landscape.

The story of German investment regulation continues to evolve, with these amendments representing an important chapter in an ongoing narrative of adaptation to changing market realities and economic needs. What remains constant is the underlying commitment to balancing opportunity and protection – a balance that defines not just these specific changes but the broader German approach to financial regulation.

## **RELATED PRACTICE AREAS**

- Financial Institutions
- Finance

## MEET THE TEAM



### **Thomas Prüm**

Frankfurt

[thomas.pruem@bcplaw.com](mailto:thomas.pruem@bcplaw.com)

+49 (0) 69 970 861 217

---

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be “Attorney Advertising” under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP’s principal office and Kathrine Dixon ([kathrine.dixon@bcplaw.com](mailto:kathrine.dixon@bcplaw.com)) as the responsible attorney.