

## Insights

# SCOTUS STAYS NATIONWIDE INJUNCTION OF THE CTA; BUT DIFFERENT DISTRICT COURT NATIONWIDE STAY OF REPORTING DEADLINE STILL IN EFFECT AND SUBMISSIONS ARE VOLUNTARY

Jan 24, 2025

As previously reported, on December 31, 2024, the government filed an application in the Supreme Court of the United States to stay or narrow the Fifth Circuit’s preliminary nationwide injunction halting the Corporate Transparency Act’s (“CTA”) reporting requirements pending appeal. Yesterday, the Supreme Court granted the government’s request for a stay of the injunction (i.e., such that the CTA could be enforced prior to decision on the expedited appeal, which has oral argument scheduled for March 25, 2025). *McHenry v. Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc.*, No. 24A653. The Supreme Court also declined to hear the case for now.

However, while the government’s request for a stay of the preliminary nationwide injunction issued in *Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc.* was granted, the CTA’s reporting requirements are not back in effect. This is because, on January 7, 2025, a *different* district court in Texas also stayed the reporting requirements under Section 705 of the Administrative Procedure Act during the pendency of a different lawsuit. *Smith v. United States Dep’t of the Treasury*, No. 6:24-CV-336-JDK, 2025 WL 41924, at \*14 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 7, 2025). This stay applies nationwide, *id.*, and the government has not appealed it. That appeal, if filed, would go to the Fifth Circuit as well.

Today, FinCEN confirmed in a publication to its website that despite the Supreme Court ruling staying the nationwide preliminary injunction issued in *Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc.*, the nationwide stay issued on January 7, 2025, in *Smith* is still in effect and CTA reporting remains voluntary. We now wait on the Fifth Circuit *Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc.* appeal as well as whether an expedited appeal is filed by the government in *Smith*.

Given continued uncertainty regarding when—and whether—the CTA filing deadline will be reinstated, reporting companies should carefully consider their options, and should be prepared to file on short notice.

To navigate these rules and deadlines for reporting requirements and recent updates, [contact BCLP’s CTA team](#) with any questions. Please note that BCLP does not provide advice as to the

application of these laws to an entity unless we have been expressly engaged to provide such advice.

## **RELATED PRACTICE AREAS**

- Corporate

## MEET THE TEAM



### **Jesus A. Osete**

Jefferson City

[jesus.osete@bcplaw.com](mailto:jesus.osete@bcplaw.com)

[+1 573 556 6638](tel:+15735566638)



### **Barbara A. Smith Tyson**

St. Louis

[barbara.smith@bcplaw.com](mailto:barbara.smith@bcplaw.com)

[+1 314 259 2367](tel:+13142592367)



### **Caitlin M. Hartsell**

San Francisco

[caitlin.hartsell@bcplaw.com](mailto:caitlin.hartsell@bcplaw.com)

[+1 415 675 3424](tel:+14156753424)



## **Emma G. McEnery**

St. Louis

[emma.mcenery@bclplaw.com](mailto:emma.mcenery@bclplaw.com)

+1 314 259 2248

---

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be "Attorney Advertising" under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP's principal office and Kathrine Dixon ([kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com](mailto:kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com)) as the responsible attorney.